Identification of N-Terminally Diversified GLP-1R Agonists Using Saturation Mutagenesis and Chemical Design
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ABSTRACT: The glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor (GLP-1R) is a class B G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) and diabetes drug target expressed mainly in pancreatic β-cells that, when activated by its agonist glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) after a meal, stimulates insulin secretion and β-cell survival and proliferation. The N-terminal region of GLP-1 interacts with membrane-proximal residues of GLP-1R, stabilizing its active conformation to trigger intracellular signaling. The best-studied agonist peptides, GLP-1 and exendin-4, share sequence homology at their N-terminal region; however, modifications that can be tolerated here are not fully understood. In this work, a functional screen of GLP-1 variants with randomized N-terminal domains reveals new GLP-1R agonists and uncovers a pattern whereby a negative charge is preferred at the third position in various sequence contexts. We further tested this sequence-structure-activity principle by synthesizing peptide analogues where this position was mutated to both canonical and noncanonical amino acids. We discovered a highly active GLP-1 analogue in which the native glutamate residue three positions from the N-terminus was replaced with the sulfo-containing amino acid cysteic acid (GLP-1-CYA). The receptor binding and downstream signaling properties elicited by GLP-1-CYA were similar to the wild type GLP-1 peptide. Computational modeling identified a likely mode of interaction of the negatively charged side chain in GLP-1-CYA with an arginine on GLP-1R. This work highlights a strategy of combinatorial peptide screening coupled with chemical exploration that could be used to generate novel agonists for other receptors with peptide ligands.

INTRODUCTION

The secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) from intestinal L-cells and its subsequent activation of glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor (GLP-1R) on β-cells triggers a host of functions including insulin secretion, β-cell proliferation, and β-cell survival to boost the body’s capacity to control blood glucose levels.1−4 These activities help promote glucose metabolism and nutrient absorption after a meal, and thus GLP-1R agonists, including analogues of GLP-1, have been clinically approved as therapeutics for type 2 diabetes.5−7 Additionally, GLP-1R agonists have been shown to offer neuroprotective properties in cell culture and animal models8−10 and are currently in phase 2 clinical trials for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease (Trial ID NCT04154072).

Given the importance of GLP-1R as a therapeutic target, understanding the GLP-1−GLP-1R interaction is of chief importance for studying metabolic physiology and further developing pharmacological agents that can leverage the therapeutic potential of GLP-1R activation. Like other class B GPCRs, the general mode of interaction between GLP-1R and GLP-1 can be understood according to the “two-domain model.”11,12 In this model, GLP-1R contains a 130-amino-acid-long extracellular domain (ECD) which binds the C-terminal helical portion of GLP-1, initiating the peptide–receptor interaction (Figure 1a). The N-terminal portion of GLP-1 interacts more closely with the transmembrane domains and extracellular loops of the receptor, forming contacts which stabilize the active conformation of GLP-1R to trigger activation of intracellular signaling. The precise determinants for agonism at the critical N-terminal region of the GLP-1 peptide have been investigated, but details are still fully emerging. There is relatively high convergence (~90%) in the first nine amino acids between the two most well-studied GLP-1R agonist peptides, GLP-1 and exendin-4, a peptide isolated from Gila monster lizard saliva that
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has similar GLP-1R agonist activity to that of GLP-1. Alkaline-scanning experiments and cryo-EM analysis of GLP-1 in complex with GLP-1R have highlighted several amino acid residues within the N-terminal region, including the first, third, fourth, and fifth residues, that contact the receptor and are necessary for full agonist activity of the wild type GLP-1 sequence. However, the discovery of active GLP-1R agonists with sequences divergent from GLP-1 at the N-terminus challenges this understanding. The engineered peptide Ex4-P5, for example, shares no amino acids in common with its parent exendin-4 but can activate its primary signaling axis of cAMP production to a similar extent as that of exendin-4 in GLP-1R-expressing mammalian (CHO-K1 and HEK293) cells, pointing to more flexibility in the sequences that can trigger GLP-1R activation than previously understood. Recent structural data highlight features that can be used toward GLP-1R agonist design, yet understanding noncovalent interactions driving agonism within a variety of peptide sequence contexts remains a major goal of the field.

Peptide ligands drive class B GPCR activity, unlike many GPCRs which are triggered by small molecules, presenting a unique opportunity to discover new agonists and explore sequence-function relationships between ligands and receptors. In many small molecule and peptide screens, ligand variation is necessary for full agonist activity of the wild type GLP-1 sequence. However, the discovery of active GLP-1R agonists with sequences divergent from GLP-1 at the N-terminus challenges this understanding. The engineered peptide Ex4-P5, for example, shares no amino acids in common with its parent exendin-4 but can activate its primary signaling axis of cAMP production to a similar extent as that of exendin-4 in GLP-1R-expressing mammalian (CHO-K1 and HEK293) cells, pointing to more flexibility in the sequences that can trigger GLP-1R activation than previously understood. Recent structural data highlight features that can be used toward GLP-1R agonist design, yet understanding noncovalent interactions driving agonism within a variety of peptide sequence contexts remains a major goal of the field.

Peptide ligands drive class B GPCR activity, unlike many GPCRs which are triggered by small molecules, presenting a unique opportunity to discover new agonists and explore sequence-function relationships between ligands and receptors. In many small molecule and peptide screens, ligand variation is necessary for full agonist activity of the wild type GLP-1 sequence. However, the discovery of active GLP-1R agonists with sequences divergent from GLP-1 at the N-terminus challenges this understanding. The engineered peptide Ex4-P5, for example, shares no amino acids in common with its parent exendin-4 but can activate its primary signaling axis of cAMP production to a similar extent as that of exendin-4 in GLP-1R-expressing mammalian (CHO-K1 and HEK293) cells, pointing to more flexibility in the sequences that can trigger GLP-1R activation than previously understood. Recent structural data highlight features that can be used toward GLP-1R agonist design, yet understanding noncovalent interactions driving agonism within a variety of peptide sequence contexts remains a major goal of the field.

Here, the determinants of GLP-1R agonism were explored by screening a peptide library, secreted in yeast, of GLP-1 variants containing randomized N-terminal sequences. We uncovered novel GLP-1R agonists and determined that the N-terminal region of GLP-1 can tolerate a high level of sequence diversity. Moreover, we found that a negative charge at the third amino acid position of GLP-1 was a critical driver of agonism and confirmed this insight by creating a highly active GLP-1 peptide containing a noncanonical cysteic acid residue at this position.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

GLP-1 Peptide Library Generation and Screening Using a GLP-1R Activation Assay. Key contacts between the N-terminal region of GLP-1 with the membrane-proximal region of GLP-1R stabilizes an activating conformational change of the receptor, leading to signaling primarily through the cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) secondary messenger. To explore which N-terminal contacts are most important for receptor activation, we created a library of GLP-1 peptides where DNA encoding for the first five amino acids was randomized by saturation mutagenesis. A mutagenic oligonucleotide pool was synthesized using a mix of trimer phosphoramidites representing the three-nucleotide codons for 19 amino acids excluding cysteine to avoid disulfide bonds (ELLA Biotech). This pool of oligonucleotides was incorporated into the GLP-1 peptide sequence by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and the resulting library of DNA inserts was introduced into the yeast strain Saccharomyces cerevisiae by homologous recombination. Individual yeast clones, which each contained a unique GLP-1 variant, were analyzed to confirm that the sequence composition was as expected after saturation mutagenesis of the targeted region (Supporting Information 3.1). Individual transformed yeast colonies were inoculated into microtiter plates and cultured such that the yeast in each well secreted a different GLP-1 peptide variant.

To measure agonist activity, we created a mammalian reporter cell line by transducing CHO-K1 cells with both GLP-1R and a gene expression reporter element comprised of green fluorescent protein (GFP) under the control of the cAMP Response Element (CRE), which promotes gene expression
upon activation of cAMP signaling. A screening workflow was then established to enable detection of yeast-secreted active GLP-1 peptide variants in a microtiter plate format and assessing their agonist activity through treatment and subsequent flow cytometry analysis of the CHO-K1-CRE-GFP-GLP-1R cells (referred to as GLP-1R reporter cells; Figure 2a). Using this plate-based functional screening workflow, approximately 1700 individual peptide variants were screened.

GLP-1 Variants with a Modified N-Terminal Region Function as GLP-1R Agonists. Despite randomizing five amino acids at the N-terminal region of GLP-1, after screening over a thousand library variants, we identified dozens of active peptides as determined by statistical analysis of how significantly they exceeded the average fluorescence of the other variants on the screening plate (Supporting Information 3.2). An analysis of the sequences that comprise the first five amino acids in these active GLP-1 variants reveals a significant amount of variability in the N-terminal residues that yields GLP-1R agonists (Figure 2b, Supporting Table 3.3−1). Five of these screening hits were randomly chosen for solid phase peptide synthesis and purified by solid phase extraction or by HPLC, along with wild-type GLP-1. The GLP-1R reporter cells were then used to compare their activity (Figure 2c). We identified clone P7E8 as one of the top hits from the peptides tested as compared to wild-type GLP-1. Although its EC50 was approximately 2 logs less active than GLP-1, and we chose to use this variant for follow-up screening and study.

To further improve its activity, clone P7E8 was subjected to a second round of mutagenesis where we further diversified the five amino acid N-terminal region with single point mutations. Using a Python script, we generated mutagenic oligonucleotide sequences to code for all single amino acid mutants (except for cysteine). This oligonucleotide pool was synthesized (IDT Technologies), incorporated into the GLP-1 sequence using PCR to create a library of inserts, and transformed into yeast. We then repeated the yeast secretion and mammalian cell screen workflow under higher stringency by diluting the peptide-containing media an additional 25× prior to analysis (Supporting Information 3.4.1). We screened roughly 200 yeast clones, focusing on any wells whose fluorescence exceeded that of the parent P7E8 clone. Using this strategy, we identified two putatively improved variants of P7E8, containing V4A or V4M point mutations (Figure 3a). We then chemically

Figure 2. GLP-1 peptide library screening. (a) Schematic of peptide mutagenesis and library screening workflow. (b) Summary of GLP-1 library screening hits via amino acid frequencies of the five randomized residues. The amino acid frequency out of the total 27 hits identified is represented by its share of the bar at each position, colored by amino acid identity. For a full list of hit sequences, see Supporting Table 3.3–1. The p value was assigned based on a chi-square test comparing observed frequencies to expected frequencies of amino acids at each position. (c) CRE reporter cell activities of selected hits identified from the saturation mutagenesis screen as compared to wild-type GLP-1. The first five N-terminal residues are shown (residues 6−31 shared with GLP-1, see Figure 1b). Data points represent duplicate measurements.
synthesized, purified, and tested the activity of these variants in the GLP-1R reporter cell assay (Figure 3b). We found that the activity of P7E8 V4M was significantly improved compared to the P7E8 parent (EC₅₀ = 150 ± 100 pM versus 1.5 ± 1 nM, p = 0.019) and was similar to the activity of wild-type GLP-1 (EC₅₀ = 90 pM ± 70 pM, p = 0.12). P7E8 V4A had an EC₅₀ value of 290 ± 200 pM, which was significantly improved compared to P7E8 (p = 0.024) but was less active than wild-type GLP1 (p = 0.017). These studies confirm that GLP-1 variants with disparate N-terminal sequences as compared to GLP-1 can function as GLP-1R agonists.

Analysis of the frequencies at which amino acids appeared in our screening hits revealed a striking prevalence of aspartate appearing at the third position, with this occurrence appearing in 70% of the hit sequences (Figure 2b). Glutamate, another negatively charged amino acid that is present in wild type GLP-1, was the second highest frequency residue present at this position, and together, over 80% of our hits contained a negatively charged amino acid at the third position. Since the GLP-1 library was generated using a saturation mutagenesis technique that randomized the sequences at the codon level to minimize library bias, this pattern suggests that maintaining this chemical preference in the context of a number of different N-terminal sequences confers some level of peptide activity. In Figure 2c, two variants that lacked a negative charge at the third position (P14C10, P21G09), originally identified as hits in the yeast secretion format, were only weakly active upon testing as synthetic peptides. This likely resulted from high peptide expression levels in the nondiluted yeast supernatant used for the first round of screening. These results also reveal quantitative limitations of the yeast secretion and screening platform and highlight the importance of validating hits using synthetic, purified, and quantified peptides as we have done in Figures 2–4.

A Negatively Charged Amino Acid at Position 3 of P7E8 Is a Key Driver of GLP-1R Activity. Previous alanine scanning experiments confirm the importance of several N-terminal residues for full GLP-1 activity, including the negatively charged glutamate residue at the third position. In addition, an alignment of GLP-1, Ex4, and Ex4-P5 as shown in Figure 1b reveals that all three of these peptides contain either an aspartate or a glutamate at this position. To similarly demonstrate the importance of this residue in the context of the P7E8 variant, we synthesized point mutants with the third position aspartate changed to either another negatively charged residue (glutamate) or its closest structural counterpart asparagine, which shares its side chain geometry and shape but lacks its negative charge. For each of these mutants, as well as P7E8, we measured cAMP activity in a dose–response manner using the GLP-1R reporter cell assay (Figure 3c). We found that the original P7E8 variant containing aspartate at position 3 retained the highest agonist activity (EC₅₀ = 1.5 ± 1 nM), while mutation to glutamate (P7E8 D3E) reduced activity (EC₅₀ = 7.5 ± 3 nM). Mutation to asparagine (P7E8 D3N) further reduced activity (EC₅₀ = 65 ± 30 nM) as compared to P7E8. These results show that aspartate is important for conferring full GLP-1R activity in the context of the P7E8 peptide, further highlighting that a charged amino acid side chain at position 3 is a key property driving GLP-1R activity.

Introduction of Cysteic Acid at Position 3 of GLP-1 Further Validates the Importance of Negative Charge for GLP-1R Activity. The co-complex cryo-EM structure of GLP-1/GLP-1R reveals a potential electrostatic interaction of the glutamate residue at position 3 of the GLP-1 peptide with an arginine residue at position 190 of the GLP-1R, providing a rationale for our mutagenesis results. We used this information for structure–activity-based chemical design to further validate the relevance of this interaction, introducing several non-
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Table 1. Sequence-activity screening of GLP-1 variant P7E8. (a) Sequences and EC₅₀ values of P7E8 (blue) and variants identified from a second round of mutagenesis and screening (V4A, V4M), and P7E8 variants with glutamate or asparagine substituted at the third position (D3E, D3N). Sequence differences from GLP-1 (black) are underlined, and differences between P7E8 and its variants are highlighted in bold. Range describes the top and bottom bounds of the approximate 95% confidence interval of the estimated EC₅₀. (b,c) Dose response curves of modified peptides tested for cAMP activity in CHO-X1-CRE-GFP reporter cells. (b) GLP-1 (black), P7E8 (blue), P7E8 V4A (red), and P7E8 V4M (green) and (c) GLP-1 (black), P7E8 (blue), P7E8 D3N (orange), and P7E8 D3E (purple). Data points represent duplicate measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Est. EC₅₀ (x10⁻⁶ M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLP-1</td>
<td>HAEGFTSDVSYLEGQAKEFIANLVKGRG</td>
<td>0.090 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7E8</td>
<td>SADVMTSDVSYLEGQAKEFIANLVKGRG</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7E8 V4A</td>
<td>SADAMFTSDVSYLEGQAKEFIANLVKGRG</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7E8 V4M</td>
<td>SADAFMTSDVSYLEGQAKEFIANLVKGRG</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7E8 D3E</td>
<td>SAEVFMTSDVSYLEGQAKEFIANLVKGRG</td>
<td>7.5 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7E8 D3N</td>
<td>SANVFMTSDVSYLEGQAKEFIANLVKGRG</td>
<td>65 ± 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
canonical amino acids at the third position of GLP-1 and determining their effects on GLP-1R agonism.

GLP-1 variants were synthesized with noncanonical residues that differed in the chemistry of their R groups but maintained at least −1 formal charge at the distal end of their R group (Figure 4a). The first was a phosphoserine residue where there is a permanent negative charge on the phosphate entity separating the negative moiety from the peptide backbone (GLP-1-PS). We also synthesized a mutant containing cysteic acid, the oxidized and permanently charged version of cysteine (GLP-1-CYA). Last, we incorporated the amino acid 4-nitrophenylalanine, which has an intervening benzene ring but a distal negatively charged nitrate moiety (GLP-1-NPA).

The activity of each purified peptide was measured in a dose dependent manner using the GLP-1R reporter cells (Figure 4b). We found that the 4-nitrophenylalanine substitution dramatically decreased peptide activity ($EC_{50} = 160 ± 50$ nM) compared to wild-type GLP-1, likely because of the disruption in N-terminal binding from the structurally rigid aromatic R group. The phosphoserine substitution yielded a peptide that was still fairly active but whose altered R group reduced peptide activity ∼5 fold compared to GLP-1 ($EC_{50} = 2 ± 2$ nM vs 0.4 ± 0.5 nM for GLP-1). The cysteic acid substitution, however, maintained a high level of activity ($EC_{50} = 0.2 ± 0.1$ nM), indicating it is at least equally able to engage the receptor and activate cAMP signaling as the natural GLP-1 glutamate residue ($p = 0.068$), highlighting an approach for identifying novel GLP-1 variants containing noncanonical residues.

**Computational Modeling of GLP-1-CYA.** Since it proved to be a highly active GLP-1R agonist, we investigated how the diagram.png
cysteic acid residue in GLP-1-CYA could be engaging with GLP-1R using computational modeling. To visualize the mode of interaction, cysteic acid was modeled in place of glutamate using RosettaRemodel,27 starting from the cryo-EM structure of activated GLP-1R bound to wild-type GLP-1. We began by generating a rotamer library with the cysteic acid residue that would allow RosettaRemodel to stochastically sample various bond angles and thus various spatial orientations of this noncanonical R group during the process of minimizing the energy of the cocomplexed structure of GLP-1R and GLP-1-CYA. The resulting lowest energy conformation reveals a possible interaction mode of GLP-1-CYA with GLP-1R (Figure 4c). Oxygen atoms on the negatively charged sulfo moiety of the cysteate R-group come within close enough proximity (∼2 Å) to engage in hydrogen bonding with the hydrogens on the positively charged guanidinium R group of the receptor’s arginine residue at position 190, forming a noncovalent salt bridge interaction. This modeling and the functional activity elicited by a cysteic acid substituted GLP-1 variant further corroborate the importance of a charged residue at the third position of GLP-1 and provide a visual guide for how this new chemistry might be interacting with a key residue on the receptor.

Further Characterization of GLP-1 and GLP-1-CYA. To further characterize the pharmacological properties of the noncanonically substituted GLP-1-CYA variant, we measured relative receptor binding and ERK1/2 activity, another signaling axis triggered by activation of GLP-1R. To measure binding, a competition assay was performed with CHO-K1 cells expressing exogenous GLP-1R in which either unlabeled GLP-1 or GLP-1-CYA was used to compete off GLP-1 labeled at the C-terminus with 6-carboxyfluorescein (GLP-1-FAM). IC_{50} values of GLP-1-CYA (20 ± 4 nM) and wild-type GLP-1 (30 ± 10 nM) to GLP-1R expressed on mammalian cells were found to be similar between these peptides (Figure 4d). We next measured the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 (Thr 202 and Tyr 204) in response to GLP-1 or GLP-1-CYA over a range of peptide concentrations (Figure 4e). Both peptides activated ERK1/2 phosphorylation in GLP-1R reporter cells, with the GLP-1-CYA peptide variant appearing to show a slight improvement over GLP-1 (EC_{50} = 1.6 ± 1.8 nM vs 14 ± 21 nM); however, the results are not statistically significant (p = 0.07). Collectively, these studies demonstrate that a GLP-1 peptide containing a noncanonical amino acid can mirror GLP-1 activity in three of its key pharmacological effects: cAMP pathway activation, binding affinity, and ERK1/2 pathway activation.

### CONCLUSIONS

Our study explores the sequence space of the N-terminal region of the GLP-1 peptide ligand in the context of GLP-1R activity. Using a saturation mutagenesis and library screening approach, we found that the first five amino acids of GLP-1 can contain disparate, but not completely random, sequences to achieve competency in stimulating GLP-1R activity similar to the naturally occurring GLP-1 peptide. We also highlighted a pattern among the library hits in which the third position from the N-terminal of active peptides favored a negatively charged aspartate or glutamate in a variety of sequence contexts. We further validated this finding by showing that mutation to asparagine at this position resulted in significantly reduced GLP-1R activity. This information was leveraged to create a novel GLP-1R agonist containing a noncanonical cysteic acid in place of this glutamate residue in GLP-1 that maintains GLP-1R binding and pharmacological activity. We also used computational modeling based on the cryo-EM structure of GLP-1R to propose a hypothesized interaction between this negatively charged noncanonical amino acid and a positively charged arginine residue on the receptor. We are interested in exploring the pharmacokinetics and plasma stability of GLP-1 variants containing noncanonical amino acids in a future study.

In addition to findings observed with GLP-1-CYA, the charge–charge interaction between GLP-1 and GLP-1R could potentially be exploited in other ways by those developing small molecule antagonists or agonists of this receptor. Moreover, other class B GPCRs share some of GLP-1R’s structural properties, including its “two domain” peptide binding model and have a high level of conservation in the “polar interaction network” made up of residues in the core of the transmembrane helices that are thought to help transmit ligand binding to the cytoplasmic face of the receptor.28 Thus, the approach used here could be applied to reveal sequence–function patterns that generate active agonists for other GPCRs with peptide ligands. In particular, combinatorial peptide screening coupled with chemical exploration represents a strategy to reveal and then exploit important ligand–receptor contacts that is complementary to the use of structural data alone.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

#### GLP-1R CAMP Response Element Reporter Cell Line. The GLP-1R CAMP response element reporter cell line was created by first transducing CHO-K1 cells (ATCC) with the CRE-GFP Cignal Lentiviral Assay prepared lentivirus reporter (Qiagen) followed by puromycin selection and confirmation of activity with forskolin to create CHO-K1-CRE-GFP reporter cells.29 The GLP-1R open reading frame sequence was obtained from the Harvard Center for Cancer Systems biology Human ORFeome (version 5.1) and cloned with its native signal sequence into the pLV-Neomycin vector, a generous gift from the Meyer Lab, to make pLV-GLP1R (Supporting Information 2.3).30,31 pLV-GLP1R along with third-generation packaging plasmids pMDLg, pRSV-rev, and pCMV-VSVG were transfected in HEK293T using Lipofectamine 2000 in 7T5 flask format for lentiviral packaging. Transfected cells were then cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ for 3 days in serum-free OptiMEM media (Gibco), with virus-containing supernatant collected daily. Supernatant was passed through a 0.45 μm filter (Millipore) and concentrated to 180 μl using an Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal protein concentration device with a 100 000 Da cutoff membrane (Millipore) and aliquoted into 30 μl portions for transduction. To create CHO-K1-CRE-GFP-GLP-1R reporter cells, CHO-K1-CRE-GFP cells were transduced with the GLP-1R lentivirus and after selection with Geneticin (neomycin) and puromycin for both genetic components (Gibco), reporter cell activity was confirmed by treatment with GLP-1R agonists and flow cytometry to confirm dose-responsive production of cAMP upon agonist treatment. Additionally, we used this GLP-1R lentivirus to generate a CHO-K1-GLP-1R cell line without reporter activity for performing mammalian cell binding and pERK1/2 activation assays. Both cell lines were maintained for all subsequent experiments in Ham’s F12K media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco).

#### Yeast Secretion Strain and Library Creation. GLP-1 variants for the library screen were expressed in Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain YVH10 (ATCC MYA-4940) in a plasmid derived from the yeast secretion vector pD1214-AK (ATUM) called pCKL1 (Supporting Information 2.1) whereby expression is constitutive under the control of a TEF promoter and secretion is controlled by the α-factor secretion signal and a Kex protease site that directs cleavage just before the first residue of the peptide sequence.32 Wild type GLP-1 was cloned into pCKL1 and propagated in DH10B Escherichia coli. Isolated pCKL1-GLP1 plasmid was transformed into YVH10 yeast by electroporation. The saturation mutagenesis library was created using mutagenic oligonucleotides, randomized at the first five N-terminal codon
positions into any of the 20 amino acids except cysteine by trimer phosphoramidite synthesis, with the codon used for each amino acid specified in Supporting Information 2.2.3 (ELLA Biotech). The P78E library, containing single point mutations within the first five N-terminal amino acid residues, was generated using a computational script to list all 90 possible single amino acid mutations that could be generated from its peptide sequence (except for cysteine) and synthesizing and pooling the corresponding oligonucleotide sequences also encoding each amino acid as indicated in Supporting Information 2.2.3 (Integrated DNA Technologies). For both libraries, the pCKL1-GLP1 plasmid DNA was used as a polymerase chain reaction template when the mutagenic primers were used to incorporate changes. The coupling agent for the synthesis was 1-cyclohexyl-3-(2-morpholinoethyl) carbodiimide/1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt/EDCI) when the mutagenic primers were used to incorporate changes. The synthesis was performed in a 96-well plate using automated liquid handlers with 96-well plate readers and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS). Analytical HPLC was performed on an Agilent 1200 Series instrument using a method of 5% → 65% solvent B at 1% B/min (solvent A, water + 0.1% TFA; solvent B, acetonitrile + 0.08% TFA) using an Agilent Zorbax 300SB-C3 column (5 μm, 2.1 × 150 mm). LCMS was performed on an Agilent 6520 Accurate mass Q-TOF LC/MS using a gradient of 1→91% solvent B at 6% B/min (solvent A, water + 0.1% FA; solvent B, acetonitrile + 0.1% FA) using an Agilent Zorbax 300SB-C3 column (5 μm, 300 Å).

GLP-1R Agonist Activity and Binding Assays. CHO-K1-cre-GFP-GLP-1R reporter cells were seeded at 10,000 cells/well in a 96-well plate and grown overnight at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The next day, dilutions of purified synthetic peptides or commercially sourced control GLP-1 peptide (Anaspec) were made at 20X in phosphate buffered saline and used to treat plated reporter cells overnight. Treated adherent cells were dissociated in 0.25% trypsin-EDTA (Gibco) and quenched in complete media, and green fluorescence of reporter cells was measured on an Accuri C6 flow cytometer. Data was exported for analysis in FlowJo software, and the mean fluorescence of the mammalian cell population for each sample was analyzed in Graph Pad Prism. EC₅₀ curves were plotted using a three-parameter dose–response curve fit with all replicate data, and 95% confidence intervals for EC₅₀ values were determined. Analyses were repeated, treating each replicate series separately, and p values were calculated by a one-sided t test of the EC₅₀ values of the replicate series for the samples being compared.

For GLP-1R competition binding assays, GLP-1 conjugated at the C-terminal end with 6-carboxylfluorescein (GLP1-FAM) was used as the labeled competitor (Anaspec). CHO-K1 GLP-1R cells were incubated with increasing concentrations of unlabelled test peptides and 30 nM of GLP1-FAM in complete media at 4°C for 2 h in the dark. Then, three washes in phosphate buffered saline with 0.1% bovine serum albumin (B-PBS) were performed on ice with gentle centrifuging. Cells were resuspended in 100 μL of B-PBS and analyzed on an Accuri C6 flow cytometer to measure the fluorescence associated with the cells, and an average fluorescence of the cells collected was calculated for each sample. Data were analyzed in Graph Pad Prism with a three-parameter sigmoidal binding curve fit, and 95% confidence intervals for the estimated IC₅₀ values were determined and used to represent the error of the estimated binding affinities.

To evaluate ERK1/2 phosphorylation, the Advanced phospho-ERK (Thr202/Tyr204) HTRF (homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence) cellular kit was used (Cisbio). The assay measures phosphorylated ERK1/2 in cellular lysates through increased FRET due to bridging of antibodies in the presence of pERK1/2. CHO-K1-GLP1R cells were treated for 8 min with agonist peptides to induce receptor activation and ERK1/2 signaling and were then immediately lysed with the provided buffer. After incubation with HTRF-labeled antibodies, phosphorylated ERK1/2 was measured by time-resolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer using a Tecan Infinite M1000 PRO HTRF plate reader and expressed as a ratio of fluorescence at 665 nm/620 nm, multiplied by 1000 as recommended by the manufacturer. These results were analyzed and plotted in GraphPad Prism using a three-parameter nonlinear dose–response curve using biological triplicates with two HTRF measurements each, and 95% confidence intervals for IC₅₀ values were determined. Analyses were repeated, treating each triplicate series separately and p values were determined by a one-sided t test of the EC₅₀ values of the replicate series for the samples being compared.

GLP-1R Computational Structural Modeling with RosettaR-emodel. To model how the cysteic acid residue would interact with GLP-1R, we started by creating a rotamer library for the cysteic acid residue. To do so, we implemented the rotamer library creation protocol described in Refrend et al. In brief, atomic connections for CYA, including an acetyl cap at the N-terminus and n-methyl cap at the C-terminus, were built using PyMOL with energy minimization performed in Avogadro using UFF (universal force field). Two χ
angles were chosen for generating rotamer angles, formed by rotating along the bonds between the α carbon and the β carbon and the bond between the beta carbon and the sulfur atom of the sulfido group in cysteic acid. We sampled in 5° intervals for each of the two χ angles, and 10° intervals for each of the backbone ϕ and ψ angles, generating 5184 (72 × 72) conformations for each 1296 (36 × 36) ϕ/ψ bin. Rotamer libraries were generated through the MakeRotLib protocol in Rosetta, with cluster centroids for K-means chosen for each χ angle at 60, 180, and 300° based on the side chain chemistry.

Next, this noncanonical CYA amino acid was modeled using RosettaRemodel\(^2\) to introduce the desired point mutation. For this simulation, we wanted an unbiased local sampling of the cysteic acid conformations while allowing the surrounding residues to respond. It is possible to use either RosettaRelax and FixBB to accomplish this, but RosettaRemodel offers a simpler setup. According to our setup, the protocol relaxed the backbone of a local section of the structure (as designated “movable” in the blueprint file) while automatically setting up full (coordinate-based) constraints for all other residues. The protocol also automatically discovered neighboring side chains through the “find_neighbors” flag and allowed their conformations to update in response to the glutamate to cysteic acid mutation (Supporting Information 6). We prepared the cryo-EM structure of activated GLP-1R bound to wild-type GLP-1 (ID SVAI) by relaxing with all-heavy atom constraints. The CYA residue was then modeled into the EM structure using RosettaRemodel, bypassing fragment insertion in 1000 parallel trajectories. The two flanking residues on each side of CYA, as well as neighboring residues (6Å), were allowed to be repacked without altering their amino acid identity. Models were scored by total energy, and the top scoring model was chosen as the representative model (Figure 4c). The top scoring model ranked by total CYA residue energy likewise displays the same interaction with R190 on GLP-1R.
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